

MATH 161: SOLUTIONS TO PRACTICE PROBLEMS FOR THE FINAL EXAM

1. Let S be any set. Prove that the power set $\mathcal{P}(S)$ of S has greater cardinality than S .

Solution: The injection $a \in S \mapsto \{a\} \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ shows that $|S| \leq |\mathcal{P}(S)|$. There is no bijection (indeed, no surjection) from S to $\mathcal{P}(S)$ because if $F : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$, then $\{a \in S : a \notin F(a)\}$ is not in the image of F . (See midterm solutions for details.)

2. Let S be any set. Prove (without using the power set axiom) that there is an x such that $x \notin S$.

Solution: Let $T = \{x \in S : x \notin x\}$. Thus for each $x \in S$, $x \in T$ if and only if $x \notin x$. Now suppose $T \in S$. Then $T \in T$ if and only if $T \notin T$, which is a contradiction. Thus $T \notin S$.

3(a). Prove that $\omega \times \omega$ is countable by giving an one-to-one map from $\omega \times \omega$ to ω .

Solution: For example, $f(p, q) = 2^p 3^q$.

3(b). Let X be the set of all finite subsets of \mathbf{N} . Prove that X is countable by giving a one-to-one map from X into \mathbf{N} .

Solution: Let p_n be the n th prime number (so that $p_0 = 2, p_1 = 3$, etc.) Now define an injection $F : X \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ by

$$F(\emptyset) = 1$$

$$F(S) = \prod_{n \in S} p_n \quad \text{if } S \neq \emptyset$$

Alternate solution Define a bijection(!) $G : X \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ by

$$G(S) = \sum_{n \in S} 2^n.$$

4. Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective (i.e., “onto”) map. Prove that there is an injective (i.e., “one-to-one”) map $G : Y \rightarrow X$.

Proof. Let ϕ be a choice function for $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Define $G : Y \rightarrow X$ by

$$G(y) = \phi(\{x \in X : F(x) = y\}).$$

Since F is surjective, $\{x \in X : F(x) = y\}$ is nonempty, so $G(y) \in \{x \in X : F(x) = y\}$, so $F(G(y)) = y$. It follows that G is one-to-one. For suppose

$$G(y_1) = G(y_2).$$

Then

$$F(G(y_1)) = F(G(y_2))$$

so $y_1 = y_2$. □

5. Define an order relation R on \mathbf{N} by

$$R = \{(a, b) : a < b \text{ and } a - b \text{ is even}\} \cup \{(a, b) : a \text{ is even and } b \text{ is odd}\}$$

(a). Prove that R is a well-ordering of \mathbf{N} .

Proof of Transitivity. Suppose aRb and bRc . We must prove that aRc . Note that is true if a, b , and c are all even or all odd, since R agrees with $<$ on the even numbers and on the odd numbers.

Case 1: c is odd. If a is even, we are done (since aRc for every even a and odd c .) Thus we may suppose that a is odd. But then b is also odd since aRb . But then a, b , and c are all odd, so transitivity holds.

Case 2: c is even. Then b is even since bRc , and therefore a is even since aRb . Thus a, b , and c are all even, so transitivity holds. □

Proof that aRa can never hold: Suppose aRa . Since $a - a$ is even, this implies that $a < a$, a contradiction. □

Proof of linearity (i.e., that if $a \neq b$, then $a < b$ or $b < a$): Suppose $a \neq b$. If $a - b$ is even, then the smaller of a and b (in the usual ordering $<$) is also smaller in the R ordering. If $a - b$ is odd, then one of a and b is odd and the other one is even. Of course the even one is smaller in the R -ordering than the odd one. \square

Proof of well-ordering Suppose S is a nonempty subset of \mathbf{N} . If $\{x \in S : x \text{ is even}\}$ is nonempty, then it contains a least element a for the standard ordering $<$. Since the evens precede the odds in the ordering R , a is also the least element in S for the ordering R . If $\{x \in S : x \text{ is even}\}$ is empty, then all elements of S are odd, and so the least element of S for the standard ordering $<$ is also a least element for the ordering R . \square

(b). Find an isomorphism from (\mathbf{N}, R) to an ordinal.

Solution: Define $F : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \omega \cdot 2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F(2n) &= n \\ F(2n + 1) &= \omega + n \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Remark: How do you find the isomorphism? The smallest element of (\mathbf{N}, R) , namely 0, has to map to the smallest ordinal, i.e., to 0. The next smallest element of (\mathbf{N}, R) , namely 2, has to map to the next smallest ordinal, i.e., 1. Continuing in the way, we see that $F(2n) = n$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Now we've "used up" the even numbers in (\mathbf{N}, R) and all the finite ordinals (in the range.) So we have to map the first element of (\mathbf{N}, R) after the evens to the first ordinal after all the finite ordinals. That is, $F(1) = \omega$, and so on.

6. Define an order relation $<$ on the power set $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ of ω as follows. If $A \neq B$, let n be the smallest number in $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$. We let $A < B$ if $n \in B$ and $B < A$ if $n \in A$.

Prove or disprove that $<$ is a well-ordering of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$.

Solution It is not a well-ordering. Consider, for example, the set S of one-element subsets of ω . Then S is a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$, but S has no least element since

$$\{n + 1\} < \{n\}.$$

7(a). Let α be an ordinal number. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all functions $f : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ such that $\{x \in \alpha : f(x) \neq 0\}$ is finite. Define an order relation $<$ on \mathcal{F} as follows:

$$f < g$$

means that there is an $a \in \alpha$ such that

$$f(a) < g(a) \text{ and } f(x) = g(x) \text{ for all } x > a.$$

(In other words: look at the largest a for which $f(a) \neq g(a)$. Then f and g are in the same order that $f(a)$ and $g(a)$ are.)

Prove that $<$ is a well-ordering of \mathcal{F} .

Solution 1: For $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the set $\{x : f(x) > 0\}$ is finite, so it has a greatest element $m(f)$.

Now suppose the ordering is not a well-ordering. Then (see hw8) there is a strictly sequence f_i ($i \in \mathbf{N}$) in \mathcal{F} with $f_1 > f_2 > f_3 > \dots$. Consider the set Z of all $m(f_1)$ where f_1, f_2, \dots is any strictly decreasing sequence in \mathcal{F} . Since Z is nonempty, it has a least element x . By definition, there is a sequence f_1, f_2, \dots in \mathcal{F} with $f_1 > f_2 > \dots$ and with $m(f_1) = x$.

Since $f_1 > f_2 > \dots$, we have $x = m(f_1) \geq m(f_2) \geq m(f_3) \geq \dots$. I claim that $m(f_i) = x$ for all i . Proof: if $m(f_i) < x$ (for some i) then $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, \dots$ would be a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{F} with $m(f_i) < x$, contradicting the choice of x . The contradiction proves that $m(f_i) = x$ for all i .

Thus $f_1(x) \geq f_2(x) \geq f_3(x) \geq \dots$. Since α is well-ordered, the set $\{f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots\}$ must have a least element $f_k(x)$. Thus $f_k(x) = f_{k+1}(x) = f_{k+2}(x) = \dots$. We may assume that $k = 1$. (Just drop the first $k - 1$ terms in the sequence.)

Now define a new sequence g_i by

$$g_i(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = x \\ f_i(t) & \text{if } t \neq x \end{cases}$$

Then $g_1 > g_2 > \dots$ and $m(g_1) < x$, contradicting the choice of x . \square

Solution 2: More generally, let \mathcal{F} be the set of maps f from α to γ (where α and γ are ordinals) such that $\{x \in \alpha : f(x) \neq 0\}$ is finite. One way to prove that a set $(\mathcal{F}, <)$ is well-ordered is by giving an isomorphism from $(\mathcal{F}, <)$ to some well-ordered set. Since every subset of a well-ordered set is well-ordered, it's enough to give an order-preserving map ϕ from \mathcal{F} into some well-ordered set. (In other words, we don't have to check that ϕ is surjective.) In particular, it's enough to define a map from \mathcal{F} to some set of ordinals such that $f < g$ if and only if $\phi(f) < \phi(g)$. We can do this as follows. (Note: it's very similar to the solution to problem 6 of hw7.) If $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we define the ordinal $\phi(f)$ by

$$\phi(f) = \sum_{\{\beta \in \alpha : f(\beta) \neq 0\}} \gamma^\beta \cdot f(\beta) \quad ((\text{decreasing } \beta\text{'s})).$$

Note that since ordinal addition is not commutative, we have to specify the order of the terms we're adding. Here (as the phrase "decreasing β 's" suggests), we order the terms with the highest β first, etc. In other words, if

$$\{\beta \in \alpha : f(\beta) \neq 0\} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$$

where $\beta_n > \dots > \beta_2 > \beta_1$, we let

$$\phi(f) = \gamma^{\beta_n} \cdot f(\beta_n) + \dots + \gamma^{\beta_2} \cdot f(\beta_2) + \gamma^{\beta_1} \cdot f(\beta_1).$$

With a little work, one can show that $f < g$ if and only if $\phi(f) < \phi(g)$.

(With some more work, one can show that this map is actually an isomorphism from $(\mathcal{F}, <)$ to the ordinal γ^α .)

7(b). Let f and g be in \mathcal{F} . We say that f is the **predecessor** of g if g is the smallest element greater than f . Which elements of \mathcal{F} do not have predecessors?

Solution: Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. The "successor" of f , i.e., the smallest element of \mathcal{F} that is $> f$, is the function g given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= f(x) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ g(0) &= f(0) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $g(0) \neq 0$. So if g has a predecessor, then $g(0) \neq 0$.

Conversely, if $g(0) \neq 0$, then g has a predecessor, namely the function f given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= g(x) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ f(0) &= g(0) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

BONUS PROBLEM. Let $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ be a function such that $x < y$ implies $f(x) < f(y)$. Prove that there are uncountably many a 's such that

$$x < a \implies f(x) < a.$$

Solution: Consider the following strategy for the apple game. For each x , if $x < f(x)$, then the set $\{t : x < t \leq f(x)\}$ is finite or countable. Thus there is a one-one map g_x from this set into the set of apples received at stage x .

Here's what you do at stage t of the game. First, if $t < f(x)$ for some $x < t$, consider the smallest such x , and throw away apple $g_x(t)$. If there is no such x , choose any apple to throw away (if you have some) or get fined (if you don't).

Thus you never get fined at times t such that $x < t \leq f(x)$. In other words, you will only get fined at times a such that $x < a$ implies $f(x) < a$. Since you get uncountably many fines (as we know from class), there must be an uncountable set of such a 's. □